

Unified (r, s) -relative entropy*

Wang Jiamei, Wu Junde[†]

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce and study unified (r, s) -relative entropy and quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy, in particular, our main results of quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy are established on the infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert spaces.

Key Words. Hilbert space, unified (r, s) -relative entropy, state.

1. Introduction

In 1991, Rathie and Taneja introduced the unified (r, s) -entropy which generalized many classical entropies ([1]), that is, let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ be a discrete probability distribution satisfies that $0 < a_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1$. If we denote $p(r) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^r$, then for any $r > 0$ and any real number s , the unified (r, s) -entropy is defined by

$$E_r^s(A) = \begin{cases} H_r^s(A), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s \neq 0, \\ H_r(A), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 0, \\ H^r(A), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{r} H(A), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 1/r, \\ H(A), & \text{if } r = 1, \end{cases}$$

*This project is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (10771191 and 10471124) and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province of China (Y6090105).

[†]Department of Mathematics, Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310027, P. R. China. E-mail: wjd@zju.edu.cn

where

$$H_r^s(A) = [(1-r)s]^{-1}[p(r)^s - 1],$$

$$H_r(A) = (1-r)^{-1} \ln p(r),$$

$$H^r(A) = (1-r)^{-1}(p(r) - 1),$$

$${}_rH(A) = (r-1)^{-1}[p(\frac{1}{r})^r - 1],$$

$$H(A) = -\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \ln a_i$$

are the (r, s) -entropy, Rényi entropy of order r , the Tsallis entropy, the entropy of type r and the well-known Shannon entropy, respectively.

In 2006, Hu and Ye introduced the quantum version of the unified (r, s) -entropy ([2]), that is, let H be a complex Hilbert space and ρ a state (see [3]) on H . If we denote $P(r) = \text{tr}(\rho^r)$, then for any $r > 0$ and any real number s , the quantum unified (r, s) -entropy is defined by

$$E_r^s(\rho) = \begin{cases} S_r^s(\rho), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s \neq 0, \\ S_r(\rho), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 0, \\ S^r(\rho), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{r}S(\rho), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 1/r, \\ S(\rho), & \text{if } r = 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$S_r^s(\rho) = [(1-r)s]^{-1}[P(r)^s - 1],$$

$$S_r(\rho) = (1-r)^{-1} \ln P(r),$$

$$S^r(\rho) = (1-r)^{-1}(P(r) - 1),$$

$${}_rS(\rho) = (r-1)^{-1} \left[P\left(\frac{1}{r}\right)^r - 1 \right],$$

$$S(\rho) = -\text{tr}(\rho \ln \rho)$$

are the quantum (r, s) -entropy, the quantum Rényi entropy of order r , the quantum Tsallis entropy, the quantum entropy of type r and the well-known Von Neumann entropy, respectively.

On the other hand, although the Rényi relative entropy of order r ([4]), the Tsallis relative entropy of degree r ([5]), the relative entropy ([3]), even the quantum Rényi relative entropy ([4]) and quantum Tsallis relative entropy of degree r ([5-6]) were studied, respectively, nevertheless, until now, we do not find the works of unified (r, s) -relative entropy and quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy. In this paper, we fill this gap.

2. The unified (r, s) -relative entropy

Let $A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, $B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ be two discrete probability distributions satisfying $0 < a_i, b_i < 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 1$. Then for any $r > 0$ and any real number s , the unified (r, s) -relative entropy is defined by

$$E_r^s(A\|B) = \begin{cases} H_r^s(A\|B), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s \neq 0, \\ H_r(A\|B), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 0, \\ H^r(A\|B), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = 1, \\ {}_{\frac{1}{r}}H(A\|B), & \text{if } r \neq 1, s = \frac{1}{r}, \\ H(A\|B), & \text{if } r = 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$H_r^s(A\|B) = -[(1-r)s]^{-1} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{a_i^{r-1}}{b_i^{r-1}} \right)^s - 1 \right], r > 0, r \neq 1, s \neq 0,$$

$$H_r(A\|B) = -(1-r)^{-1} \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{a_i^{r-1}}{b_i^{r-1}} \right), r > 0, r \neq 1,$$

$$H^r(A\|B) = -(1-r)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{a_i^{r-1}}{b_i^{r-1}} - 1 \right), r > 0, r \neq 1,$$

$${}_rH(A\|B) = -(r-1)^{-1} \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{a_i^{\frac{1}{r}-1}}{b_i^{\frac{1}{r}-1}} \right)^r - 1 \right], r > 0, r \neq 1,$$

$$H(A\|B) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \ln \frac{a_i}{b_i}$$

are the (r, s) -relative entropy, the Rényi relative entropy of order r , the Tsallis relative entropy of degree r , the relative entropy of type r and the relative entropy, respectively ([3-5]).

Now, we discuss some elementary properties of the unified (r, s) -relative entropy. First, we point out an important unified (r, s) -directed divergence $\mathcal{F}_r^s(A\|B)$ which was studied in [7], note that when $r \neq 1$, $E_r^{\frac{s-1}{r-1}}(A\|B) = \mathcal{F}_r^s(A\|B)$, so by using Theorem 1 in [7], we can prove the nonnegativity, nonadditivity and convexity of $E_r^s(A\|B)$ directly:

(i) Let $\Delta_n = \{A = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) : a_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 1\}$. If $A, B \in \Delta_n$, then $E_r^s(A\|B) \geq 0$, and the equality holds iff $A = B$.

(ii) Let $\Delta_m = \{B = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m) : b_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^m b_i = 1\}$. If $A_1, A_2 \in \Delta_n$, $B_1, B_2 \in \Delta_m$, and denote $A * B = (a_1 b_1, \dots, a_1 b_m, a_2 b_1, \dots, a_2 b_m, \dots, a_n b_m)$, then

$$E_r^s(A_1 * B_1\|A_2 * B_2) = E_r^s(A_1\|A_2) + E_r^s(B_1\|B_2) + (r-1)sE_r^s(A_1\|A_2)E_r^s(B_1\|B_2).$$

(iii) If $r = 1$ or $r > 1, s \geq 1$ or $0 < r < 1, s \leq 1$, then $E_r^s(A\|B)$ is a convex function of (A, B) .

Next, we prove the following:

Theorem 2.1. If $r = 1$ or $0 < r < 1, s \geq 0$, then

$$E_r^s(A\|B) \leq H(A\|B) \leq E_{2-r}^s(A\|B).$$

Proof. That $r = 1$ is clear. Let $0 < r < 1, s = 0$. By the convexity of the function $f(x) = \frac{1}{1-r} \ln x$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} H_r(A\|B) &= -(1-r)^{-1} \ln \left(\sum_i a_i \frac{a_i^{r-1}}{b_i^{r-1}} \right) \\ &\leq \sum_i a_i \left[-(1-r)^{-1} \ln \frac{a_i^{r-1}}{b_i^{r-1}} \right] \\ &= \sum_i a_i \ln \frac{a_i}{b_i} = H(A\|B). \end{aligned}$$

By a similar way, we get that $H(A\|B) \leq H_{2-r}(A\|B)$. Thus, we have

$$H_r(A\|B) \leq H(A\|B) \leq H_{2-r}(A\|B). \quad (1)$$

If $0 < r < 1$ and $s > 0$, let $x_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{b_i^{1-r}}{a_i^{1-r}}$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{b_i^{1-r}}{a_i^{1-r}} \leq [\sum_{i=1}^n (a_i \frac{b_i}{a_i})]^{1-r} = 1$. Note that when $0 < x \leq 1$ and $s > 0$, we have $\ln x \leq \frac{x^s - 1}{s}$, so for any $0 < r < 1, s > 0$, we have $-\frac{x_0^s - 1}{(1-r)s} \leq -\frac{1}{1-r} \ln x_0$, thus,

$$-\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{b_i^{1-r}}{a_i^{1-r}}\right)^s - 1}{(1-r)s} \leq -\frac{1}{1-r} \ln \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i \frac{b_i^{1-r}}{a_i^{1-r}}\right),$$

that is,

$$H_r^s(A\|B) \leq H_r(A\|B). \quad (2)$$

It follows from (1) and (2) that $H_r^s(A\|B) \leq H(A\|B)$. By a similar way, we can prove $H(A\|B) \leq H_{2-r}^s(A\|B)$. Thus, we proved the theorem.

3. The quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy

Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space and ρ, σ be two states on H . Then for any $0 \leq r \leq 1$ and any real number s , the quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy is defined by

$$E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = \begin{cases} H_r^s(\rho\|\sigma), & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1, s \neq 0, \\ H_r(\rho\|\sigma), & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1, s = 0, \\ H^r(\rho\|\sigma), & \text{if } 0 \leq r < 1, s = 1, \\ \frac{1}{r}H(\rho\|\sigma), & \text{if } 0 < r < 1, s = \frac{1}{r}, \\ H(\rho\|\sigma), & \text{if } r = 1, \end{cases}$$

where

$$H_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = -[(1-r)s]^{-1}[(tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s - 1],$$

$$H_r(\rho\|\sigma) = -(1-r)^{-1} \ln(tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})),$$

$$H^r(\rho\|\sigma) = -(1-r)^{-1} [tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) - 1],$$

$${}_r H(\rho\|\sigma) = -(r-1)^{-1} [(tr(\rho^{\frac{1}{r}} \sigma^{1-\frac{1}{r}}))^r - 1],$$

$$H(\rho\|\sigma) = \text{tr}(\rho \ln \rho) - \text{tr}(\rho \ln \sigma)$$

are the quantum (r, s) -relative entropy, the quantum Rényi relative entropy of order r , the quantum Tsallis relative entropy, the quantum relative entropy of type r and the quantum relative entropy ([3-6]), respectively.

We point out that if the state σ is invertible, then the definition of quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy can be extended to $r > 1$. Moreover, we have the following important equalities:

$$H_r^1(\rho\|\sigma) = H^r(\rho\|\sigma), \quad (3)$$

$$H_{\frac{1}{r}}^r(\rho\|\sigma) = {}_rH(\rho\|\sigma), \quad (4)$$

(3) and (4) showed that the quantum Tsallis relative entropy and quantum relative entropy of type r are the particular cases of the quantum (r, s) -relative entropy.

In order to study the properties of quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 3.1. Let H be a separable complex Hilbert spaces, A and B two positive trace class operators on H . Then for any $\lambda, \mu > 0$, we have $R(\lambda A + \mu B) = R(A + B)$, where $R(A)$ is the range of A .

Proof. In fact, if $0 \leq A \leq B$, that is, $0 \leq A^{\frac{1}{2}}A^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq B^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then it follows from Theorem 1 in [8] that $R(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) \subseteq R(B^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Note that $R(A^{\frac{1}{2}}) = R(A)$, $R(B^{\frac{1}{2}}) = R(B)$, so $R(A) \subseteq R(B)$. Thus, we have $R(A + B) \subseteq R(A + (1 + \alpha)B)$ for any $\alpha > 0$. On the other hand, take n such that $0 \leq \frac{A + (1 + \alpha)B}{n} \leq A + B$, then $R(A + (1 + \alpha)B) = R(\frac{A + (1 + \alpha)B}{n}) \subseteq R(A + B)$. Thus, $R(A + B) = R(A + (1 + \alpha)B)$, i.e., $R(A + B) = R(A + \beta B)$ for any $\beta > 1$. Replace B with $\frac{1}{\beta}B$, we have $R(A + \frac{1}{\beta}B) = R(A + B)$ for any $\beta > 1$. Hence, $R(A + \mu B) = R(A + B)$ for any $\mu > 0$. Furthermore, $R(\lambda A + \mu B) = R(A + \mu B) = R(A + B)$ for any $\lambda > 0$ and $\mu > 0$.

Theorem 3.1. Let H, H_1 and H_2 be separable complex Hilbert spaces.

(I) If ρ and σ are two states on H , then $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) \geq 0$. Furthermore, when $0 < r \leq 1$, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = 0$ iff $\rho = \sigma$; when $r = 0$, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = 0$ iff $\text{Ker}(\rho) \subseteq \text{Ker}(\sigma)$.

(II) If ρ_j and σ_j are states on H , $\lambda_i > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$, then when $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1$ and $s \leq 1$, we have

$$E_r^s(\sum_j \lambda_j \rho_j \| \sum_j \lambda_j \sigma_j) \leq \sum_j \lambda_j E_r^s(\rho_j \| \sigma_j).$$

(III) If ρ and σ are two states, U is a unitary operator on H , then

$$E_r^s(U\rho U^* \| U\sigma U^*) = E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma).$$

(IV) If ρ_1 and σ_1 are two states on H_1 , ρ_2 and σ_2 are two states on H_2 , then

$$E_r^s(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \| \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = E_r^s(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) + E_r^s(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2) + (r-1)sE_r^s(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1)E_r^s(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2).$$

Proof. For $r = 1$, the conclusion had been proved (see [3], [9-12]). Note that (3) and (4), we only need to prove the cases of $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma) = H_r^s(\rho \| \sigma)$ and $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma) = H_r(\rho \| \sigma)$.

(I) Note that when $0 \leq r < 1$ and $s \neq 0$, $h(x) = \frac{1-x^s}{(1-r)s}$ and $g(x) = \frac{\ln x}{r-1}$ are monotone decreasing, so it is sufficient to prove that $0 \leq tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) \leq 1$.

Let $\rho = 0P_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i P_i$ and $\sigma = 0Q_0 + \sum_j \mu_j Q_j$ be the spectral decompositions of states ρ and σ , where $i, j \in \mathbf{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}$, P_i and Q_j are the one dimension projection operators, P_0 and Q_0 are the projections on the kernel spaces of ρ and σ , respectively, and $\lambda_i > 0, \mu_j > 0$. Then $P_i P_0 = 0$, $Q_j Q_0 = 0$, $P_i P_j = Q_i Q_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $P_0 + \sum_i P_i = Q_0 + \sum_j Q_j = I$ and $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_j \mu_j = 1$. So, we have $tr(P_0 Q_j) + \sum_i tr(P_i Q_j) = tr(Q_j) = 1$ and $tr(Q_0 P_i) + \sum_j tr(P_i Q_j) = tr(P_i) = 1$. Thus, when $0 \leq r < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) &= \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i^r \mu_j^{1-r} tr(P_i Q_j) \\ &= \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i^r \mu_j^{1-r} tr(Q_j P_i Q_j) \\ &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

When $r = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} tr(\rho^0 \sigma^{1-0}) &= \sum_{ij} \mu_j tr(P_i Q_j) \\ &= \sum_j \mu_j tr(\sum_i P_i Q_j) \\ &\leq \sum_j \mu_j = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and with equality iff for any j , $\sum_i tr(P_i Q_j) = 1$ iff for any j , $tr(P_0 Q_j) = 0$ iff $P_0 \leq Q_0$ iff $Ker(\rho) \subseteq Ker(\sigma)$.

When $0 < r < 1$, note that $\sum_i tr(P_i Q_j) + tr(P_0 Q_j) = 1$, by the concavity of $f(x) = x^r$, we have

$$tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = \sum_i \sum_j \lambda_i^r \mu_j^{1-r} tr(P_i Q_j) \quad (5)$$

$$= \sum_j \mu_j \left[\sum_i \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j} \right)^r tr(P_i Q_j) + \left(\frac{0}{\mu_j} \right)^r tr(P_0 Q_j) \right] \quad (6)$$

$$\leq \sum_j \mu_j \left[\sum_i \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j} tr(P_i Q_j) + \frac{0}{\mu_j} tr(P_0 Q_j) \right]^r \quad (7)$$

$$\leq \left(\sum_j \mu_j \sum_i \frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j} tr(P_i Q_j) \right)^r \quad (8)$$

$$= \left(\sum_i \lambda_i \sum_j tr(P_i Q_j) \right)^r \quad (9)$$

$$\leq 1. \quad (10)$$

Thus, we proved that when $0 \leq r < 1$, $0 \leq tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) \leq 1$, and when $r = 0$, $tr(\rho^0 \sigma^{1-0}) = 1$ iff $Ker(\rho) \subseteq Ker(\sigma)$. Note that when $0 < r < 1$, $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma) = 0$ iff $tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 1$, so, we only need to prove that if $0 < r < 1$ and $tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 1$, then $\rho = \sigma$.

First, if $tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 1$, it follows from (9) and (10) that for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $\sum_j tr(P_i Q_j) = 1$, so $tr(P_i Q_0) = 0 = tr(P_i Q_0 P_i)$, it is easily to know that $P_i Q_0 P_i = 0$, so for each $i \in \mathbf{N}$, $P_i Q_0 = 0$, thus we have $Q_0 \leq P_0$. Moreover, if $tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 1$, then (7) takes equality, we get that (i) or (ii) as follows:

(i) For each given j , there exists a $i_j \in \mathbf{N}$ such that $tr(P_{i_j} Q_j) = 1$ and $tr(P_i Q_j) = 0$ for all $i \neq i_j$.

(ii) For each j , we have $\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_j} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_j} = \dots$ and $\sum_i tr(P_i Q_j) = 1$.

If (i) is satisfied, then for each j , we have $P_0 Q_j = 0$, so $P_0 \leq Q_0$, combining this and $Q_0 \leq P_0$ proved before, we get $Q_0 = P_0$. Moreover, note that P_{i_j} and Q_j are both one dimensional projections and $tr(P_{i_j} Q_j) = 1$, so, it is easy to know that $P_{i_j} = Q_j$. It also follows from $tr(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 1$ that $\frac{\lambda_{i_j}}{\mu_j} = 1$, thus, we can prove that $\rho = \sigma$.

If (ii) is satisfied, then for each j , we have $\frac{\lambda_1}{\mu_j} = \frac{\lambda_2}{\mu_j} = \dots$ and $\sum_i tr(P_i Q_j) = 1$, so

we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots$ and for each j , $\text{tr}(P_0 Q_j) = 0$, so we can prove that $P_0 \leq Q_0$, thus, $P_0 = Q_0$. Moreover, it follows from $\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j}$ is a constant, $\sum_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) = 1$ and (5)-(10) that $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots$, thus, we have $\rho = \sigma$, (I) is proved.

(II) Let ρ and σ be two states on H and $f(\rho, \sigma) = \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})$. If $0 < r < 1$, then it follows from [13, Corollary 1.1] that $f(\rho, \sigma) = \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})$ is a joint concave functional with respect to the states ρ and σ , that is, for any states ρ_1, ρ_2, σ_1 and σ_2 , when $0 < \lambda < 1$, we have

$$f(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2, \lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2) \geq \lambda f(\rho_1, \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)f(\rho_2, \sigma_2). \quad (11)$$

If $r = 0$, let P_1, P_2 and P be the projection operators on $R(\rho_1), R(\rho_2)$ and $R(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2)$, respectively, then $\rho_1^0 = P_1, \rho_2^0 = P_2, (\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2)^0 = P$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that $P \geq P_1$ and $P \geq P_2$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}((\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2)^0 (\lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2)^1) \\ &= \text{tr}(P(\lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2)) \\ &= \lambda \text{tr}(P\sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)\text{tr}(P\sigma_2) \\ &\geq \lambda \text{tr}(P_1\sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)\text{tr}(P_2\sigma_2) \\ &= \lambda \text{tr}(P_1\sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)\text{tr}(P_2\sigma_2) \\ &= \lambda \text{tr}((\rho_1)^0 \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)\text{tr}((\rho_2)^0 \sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that the inequality (11) also holds when $r = 0$.

If $0 \leq r < 1, s = 0$, by the monotone decreasing property and convexity of the function $g(x) = \frac{\ln x}{r-1}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & H_r(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2 \| \lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{r-1} \ln(f(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2, \lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{r-1} \ln(\lambda f(\rho_1, \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)f(\rho_2, \sigma_2)) \\ &\leq \lambda \frac{1}{r-1} \ln(f(\rho_1, \sigma_1)) + (1-\lambda) \frac{1}{r-1} \ln(f(\rho_2, \sigma_2)) \\ &= \lambda H_r(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda) H_r(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2). \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq r < 1, s \neq 0$ and $s \leq 1$, then $h(x) = \frac{1-x^s}{(1-r)s}$ is also a monotone decreasing convex function, so

$$\begin{aligned}
& H_r^s(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2 \| \lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2) \\
&= [(1-r)s]^{-1}[1 - f^s(\lambda\rho_1 + (1-\lambda)\rho_2, \lambda\sigma_1 + (1-\lambda)\sigma_2)] \\
&\leq [(1-r)s]^{-1}[1 - (\lambda f^s(\rho_1, \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)f^s(\rho_2, \sigma_2))] \\
&= \lambda H_r^s(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) + (1-\lambda)H_r^s(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, (II) is proved. (III) and (IV) can be proved easily, we omit them.

In order to study the other properties of quantum unified (r, s) -relative entropy, we need the following:

Let H_1 and H_2 be two separable complex Hilbert spaces and $H_1 \otimes H_2$ their tensor product. The set of all trace class operators on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is denoted by $T(H_1 \otimes H_2)$, the set of all trace class positive operators on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is denoted by $T_+(H_1 \otimes H_2)$. If $A \in T_+(H_1 \otimes H_2)$, by the following form, we can define a trace class positive operator A_1 on H_1 :

$$(x, A_1 y) = \sum_i (x \otimes e_i, A(y \otimes e_i)),$$

where $x, y \in H_1$, $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of H_2 . We call A_1 to be the *partial trace* of A on H_1 and denoted by $A_1 = \text{tr}_2 A$. Similarly, we can define the *partial trace* A_2 of A on H_2 . Note that when A is a state, A_1 and A_2 are also states.

It follows from Theorem 3.1(III), Theorem 3.1(IV) and the methods in the proof of [3, Theorem 11.17], we have

Lemma 3.2. Let H_1, H_2 be two finite dimensional complex Hilbert space. If $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1$ and $s \leq 1$, then for any states ρ and σ on $H_1 \otimes H_2$,

$$E_r^s(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) \leq E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma),$$

where ρ_1 and σ_1 are the partial traces of ρ and σ on H_1 , respectively.

Lemma 3.3. Let H be a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space, Φ a trace-preserving completely positive map of $T(H)$ into itself. If $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1$ and

$s \leq 1$, then for any states ρ and σ on H ,

$$E_r^s(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) \leq E_r^s(\rho||\sigma).$$

Proof. Taking a finite dimensional complex Hilbert space H_0 such that the dimension of H_0 is bigger than 1. Then it follows from ([9,11-12]) that there are a unitary operator U on $H \otimes H_0$ and a projection operator P on H_0 such that for any state ρ on H , we have

$$\Phi(\rho) = \text{tr}_2(U(\rho \otimes P)U^*),$$

thus, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$E_r^s(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) \leq E_r^s(U(\rho \otimes P)U^*||U(\sigma \otimes P)U^*) = E_r^s(\rho \otimes P||\sigma \otimes P) = E_r^s(\rho||\sigma).$$

Lemma 3.4 ([11]). Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space, Φ a trace-preserving completely positive map of $T(H)$ into itself, and $\{P_n\}$ a family of finite-dimensional projections such that $P_m \leq P_n$ for $m \leq n$ and $P_n \rightarrow I$ strongly when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then there is a family $\{\Phi_n\}$ of completely positive maps such that $\{\Phi_n\}$ is trace-preserving on $P_n(H)$ and $\Phi_n(A) \rightarrow \Phi(A)$ uniformly for each $A \in T_+(H)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space and Φ a trace-preserving completely positive map of $T(H)$ into itself. If $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1$ and $s \leq 1$, then for any state ρ and σ , we have

$$E_r^s(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) \leq E_r^s(\rho||\sigma).$$

Proof. Let P_n and Φ_n satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3.4. Then $\Phi_n(\rho) \rightarrow \Phi(\rho)$ uniformly for each state ρ . Since function $x^r y^{1-r}$ is continuous, we have $(\Phi_n(\rho))^r (\Phi_n(\sigma))^{1-r} \rightarrow (\Phi(\rho))^r (\Phi(\sigma))^{1-r}$ uniformly, hence $\text{tr}((\Phi_n(\rho))^r (\Phi_n(\sigma))^{1-r}) \rightarrow \text{tr}((\Phi(\rho))^r (\Phi(\sigma))^{1-r})$. This shows that

$$E_r^s(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_r^s(\Phi_n(\rho)||\Phi_n(\sigma)).$$

Let $\rho_n = \frac{P_n \rho P_n}{\text{tr}(\rho P_n)}$, $\sigma_n = \frac{P_n \sigma P_n}{\text{tr}(\sigma P_n)}$. By the proof of Lemma 4 in [10], $P_n \rho P_n \rightarrow \rho$ and $P_n \sigma P_n \rightarrow \sigma$ uniformly. Hence $\text{tr}(P_n \rho P_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(\rho) = 1$ and $\text{tr}(P_n \sigma P_n) \rightarrow \text{tr}(\sigma) = 1$.

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_n(\rho))^r (\Phi_n(\sigma))^{1-r} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_n(P_n \rho P_n))^r (\Phi_n(P_n \sigma P_n))^{1-r} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(\Phi_n(P_n \rho P_n))^r (\Phi_n(P_n \sigma P_n))^{1-r}}{(tr(P_n \rho P_n))^r (tr(P_n \sigma P_n))^{1-r}} \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\Phi_n(\rho_n))^r (\Phi_n(\sigma_n))^{1-r}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_r^s(\Phi_n(\rho) \parallel \Phi_n(\sigma)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_r^s(\Phi_n(\rho_n) \parallel \Phi_n(\sigma_n))$. By Lemma 3.2, $E_r^s(\Phi_n(\rho_n) \parallel \Phi_n(\sigma_n)) \leq E_r^s(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n)$. Again $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho, \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ uniformly, we get that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_r^s(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n) = E_r^s(\rho \parallel \sigma)$. Therefore $E_r^s(\Phi(\rho) \parallel \Phi(\sigma)) \leq E_r^s(\rho \parallel \sigma)$. That completes the proof.

Theorem 3.3 (Monotonicity). Let H_1, H_2 be separable complex Hilbert space, $H = H_1 \otimes H_2$. If $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1$ and $s \leq 1$, then for any state ρ and σ on H ,

$$E_r^s(\rho_1 \parallel \sigma_1) \leq E_r^s(\rho \parallel \sigma),$$

where ρ_1 and σ_1 are the partial traces of ρ and σ on H_1 , respectively.

Proof. Since H_2 is a separable complex Hilbert space, so there is a sequence of $\{P_n\}$ of finite-dimensional projection operators on H_2 such that $P_m \leq P_n$ for $m \leq n$ and $P_n \rightarrow I$ strongly when $n \rightarrow \infty$. Let $H_2^n = P_n(H_2), H^n = H_1 \otimes H_2^n$. It follows from the proof of Lemma 4 in [10] again that

$$\rho_n = \frac{(I \otimes P_n)\rho(I \otimes P_n)}{tr(\rho(I \otimes P_n))} \rightarrow \rho,$$

$$\sigma_n = \frac{(I \otimes P_n)\sigma(I \otimes P_n)}{tr(\sigma(I \otimes P_n))} \rightarrow \sigma,$$

$$\rho_{1n} = tr_2 \rho_n \rightarrow \rho_1,$$

$$\sigma_{1n} = tr_2 \sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma_1$$

uniformly. Hence $E_r^s(\rho_{1n} \parallel \sigma_{1n}) \rightarrow E_r^s(\rho_1 \parallel \sigma_1)$, and $E_r^s(\rho_n \parallel \sigma_n) \rightarrow E_r^s(\rho \parallel \sigma)$.

Define $\Phi : B(H^n) \rightarrow B(H_1) \otimes \{\lambda I_2^n\}$ by $\Phi(\rho) = (tr_2 \rho) \otimes C_{2n}$, where I_2^n is the identity operator on H_2^n and $C_{2n} = (dim H_2^n)^{-1} I_2^n$. Then

$$E_r^s(\Phi(\rho_n) \parallel \Phi(\sigma_n)) = E_r^s(\rho_{1n} \otimes C_{2n} \parallel \sigma_{1n} \otimes C_{2n}) = E_r^s(\rho_{1n} \parallel \sigma_{1n}).$$

It is obvious that Φ is a trace-preserving completely positive map from $B(H^n)$ into itself. By Theorem 3.2, $E_r^s(\Phi(\rho_n)\|\Phi(\sigma_n)) \leq E_r^s(\rho_n\|\sigma_n)$, so

$$E_r^s(\rho_{1n}\|\sigma_{1n}) \leq E_r^s(\rho_n\|\sigma_n).$$

Note that $E_r^s(\rho_{1n}\|\sigma_{1n}) \rightarrow E_r^s(\rho_1\|\sigma_1)$, $E_r^s(\rho_n\|\sigma_n) \rightarrow E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)$, thus we have

$$E_r^s(\rho_1\|\sigma_1) \leq E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)$$

and the theorem is proved.

Theorem 3.4. Let H be a separable complex Hilbert space, ρ and σ two states on H and σ invertible. Then for $r = 1$ or $0 \leq r < 1, s \geq 0$, we have

$$E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) \leq H(\rho\|\sigma) \leq E_{2-r}^s(\rho\|\sigma). \quad (12)$$

Proof. That $r = 1$ is clear. If $0 \leq r < 1, s = 0$, we need to prove that

$$H_r(\rho\|\sigma) \leq H(\rho\|\sigma) \leq H_{2-r}(\rho\|\sigma). \quad (13)$$

Let $\rho = 0P_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i P_i$ and $\sigma = \sum_j \mu_j Q_j$ be the spectral decompositions of ρ and σ , where P_i and Q_j be the one dimension projection operators, P_0 be the projection operator on the kernel space of ρ , and $P_i P_0 = 0$, $\lambda_i > 0, \mu_j > 0$ when $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, and $P_i P_j = Q_i Q_j = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_j \mu_j = 1$, $P_0 + \sum_i P_i = \sum_j Q_j = I$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} H_{2-r}(\rho\|\sigma) &= -\frac{\ln \text{tr}(\rho^{2-r} \sigma^{r-1})}{r-1} \\ &= -\frac{1}{r-1} \ln \sum_{ij} \lambda_i^{2-r} \mu_j^{r-1} \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) \\ &= -\frac{1}{r-1} \ln \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j}\right)^{1-r} \end{aligned}$$

Let $g(x) = -\frac{1}{r-1} \ln x$, $\alpha_{ij} = \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j)$ and $x_{ij} = (\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j})^{1-r}$. Then $\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} = \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) = \sum_j \text{tr}(\rho Q_j) = \text{tr}(\rho) = 1$. By the concavity of the function $g(x) = -\frac{1}{r-1} \ln x$, we have

$$H_{2-r}(\rho\|\sigma) = g\left(\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} x_{ij}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} g(x_{ij}) \\
&= \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) \left(-\frac{1}{r-1} \ln \left(\frac{\lambda_i}{\mu_j} \right)^{1-r} \right) \\
&= \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) (\ln \lambda_i - \ln \mu_j) \\
&= H(\rho \| \sigma).
\end{aligned}$$

The left-hand side inequality of (13) is proven by a similar way.

If $0 \leq r < 1, s > 0$, we need to prove that

$$H_r^s(\rho \| \sigma) \leq H(\rho \| \sigma) \leq H_{2-r}^s(\rho \| \sigma). \quad (14)$$

Let $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = x_0$. Since

$$\ln x \leq \frac{x^s - 1}{s},$$

for any $x > 0, s > 0$, so, for any $0 \leq r < 1, s > 0$, we have

$$-\frac{x_0^s - 1}{[(r-1)s]} \geq -(r-1)^{-1} \ln x_0.$$

That is,

$$H_{2-r}^s(\rho \| \sigma) \geq H(\rho \| \sigma).$$

Combining this with (13), we have $H_{2-r}^s(\rho \| \sigma) \geq H(\rho \| \sigma)$. Similarly, the left-hand side inequality of (14) can be proven.

Note that when $0 \leq r < 1, s = 1$, the inequalities (12) degenerate into convexity inequalities for estimating free energy and relative entropy given by Ruskai and Stillinger in [14].

Theorem 3.5. Let ρ and σ be two states on the separable complex Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. We have

- (1) If ρ is an invertible state, then $E_0^s(\rho \| \sigma) = 0$.
- (2) If $s \geq 0$, then $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma)$ is monotone increasing with respect to $r \in [0, 1]$; if $s < 0$, then $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma)$ is monotone decreasing with respect to $r \in [0, 1]$.
- (3) For each $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma)$ is monotone decreasing with respect to s .
- (4) For each $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $E_r^s(\rho \| \sigma)$ is a convex function of s .

Proof. (1) If ρ is invertible, we have $\rho^0 = I$, so $E_0^s(\rho\|\sigma) = 0$.

(2) It follows from Theorem 3.4 that $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) \leq H(\rho\|\sigma) = E_1^s(\rho\|\sigma)$, so it is sufficient to prove the conclusion for $0 \leq r < 1$ and any s .

Let $\rho = 0P_0 + \sum_i \lambda_i P_i$ and $\sigma = 0Q_0 + \sum_j \mu_j Q_j$ be the spectral decompositions of ρ and σ , where P_i and Q_j are the one dimension projection operators, P_0 and Q_0 are the projection operators on the zero spaces of ρ and σ respectively, and for all $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, $\lambda_i > 0, \mu_j > 0$. Then $\sum_i \lambda_i = \sum_j \mu_j = 1$, $P_0 + \sum_i P_i = Q_0 + \sum_j Q_j = I$.

Let $f(x) = x \ln x$, $\alpha_{ij} = \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j)$, $x_{ij} = (\frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_i})^{1-r}$. Then $\sum_i [\sum_j \alpha_{ij} + \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_0)] = \sum_i \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i (\sum_j Q_j + Q_0)) = \text{tr}(\rho) = 1$. Because $f(x)$ is a convex function, we have $\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} f(x_{ij}) \geq f(\sum_{ij} \alpha_{ij} x_{ij})$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) (\frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_i})^{1-r} \ln (\frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_i})^{1-r} \geq \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) (\frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_i})^{1-r} \ln \sum_{ij} \lambda_i \text{tr}(P_i Q_j) (\frac{\mu_j}{\lambda_i})^{1-r},$$

that is,

$$-(1-r) \text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r}) \geq \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) \ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}). \quad (15)$$

(i) If $s = 0$, then $E_r^0(\rho\|\sigma) = H_r(\rho\|\sigma) = -(1-r)^{-1} \ln(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))$. Note that $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 0$ iff for any $i, j \in \mathbf{N}$, $P_i Q_j = 0$. Hence, if for some $0 \leq r_0 < 1$ such that $\text{tr}(\rho^{r_0} \sigma^{1-r_0}) = 0$, then it is easily to see that for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 0$, so for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $E_r^0(\rho\|\sigma) = H_r(\rho\|\sigma) = +\infty$, thus, the conclusion is also true in this case. If for each $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) > 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dH_r(\rho\|\sigma)}{dr} &= \frac{H_r(\rho\|\sigma)}{1-r} - \frac{\text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r})}{(1-r) \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})} \\ &= \frac{-\ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})}{(1-r)^2} - \frac{\text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r})}{(1-r) \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})}. \end{aligned}$$

By (15), we know that $-\text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r}) \geq \frac{1}{1-r} \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) \ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})$, so

$$\frac{dH_r(\rho\|\sigma)}{dr} \geq \frac{-\ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})}{(1-r)^2} + \frac{\ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})}{(1-r)^2} = 0.$$

This conclusion is proved when $s = 0$.

(ii) If $s \neq 0$, and for some $0 \leq r_0 < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^{r_0} \sigma^{1-r_0}) = 0$, then for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 0$, so for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = H_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{(1-r)s}$, thus,

the conclusion is true in this case. If for each $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) > 0$, then $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = H_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = -[(1-r)s]^{-1}[(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s - 1]$, so by (15) again that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{dH_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{dr} \\ &= \frac{H_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{1-r} - \frac{(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^{s-1} \text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r})}{1-r} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \left[\frac{1 - (\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s}{s} - (1-r)(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^{s-1} \text{tr}(\rho^r (\ln \rho - \ln \sigma) \sigma^{1-r}) \right] \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \left[\frac{1 - (\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s}{s} + \frac{s(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) \ln(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))) (\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^{s-1}}{s} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(1-r)^2} \left[\frac{1 - (\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s + s(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s \ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})}{s} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = x$, $f(x) = \frac{sx^s \ln x - x^s + 1}{s}$. Then $0 < x \leq 1$, $f'(x) = sx^{s-1} \ln x$. Note that If $s > 0$, then $f'(x) \leq 0$, $f(x) \geq f(1) = 0$. Thus, $\frac{dH_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{dr} \geq 0$. Similarly, $\frac{dH_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{dr} \leq 0$ if $s < 0$.

The conclusion is proved finally.

(3) If $r = 1$, then $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = H(\rho\|\sigma)$ is a constant, so the conclusion is true in this case.

If for some $0 \leq r_0 < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^{r_0} \sigma^{1-r_0}) = 0$, then for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = 0$, thus, $E_r^0(\rho\|\sigma) = +\infty > \frac{1}{(1-r)s} = E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)$ for any s .

If for each $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) > 0$, by the inequality

$$\ln x \leq \frac{x^s - 1}{s}$$

for any $x > 0, s > 0$, we can prove that for any $s > 0$ and $0 \leq r < 1$, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) \leq E_r^0(\rho\|\sigma)$. Similarly, we have $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) \geq E_r^0(\rho\|\sigma)$ for any $s < 0$ and $0 \leq r < 1$.

Thus, in order to prove the conclusion, it is sufficient to show that

$$\frac{dE_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds} \leq 0$$

if $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) > 0$ for any $s \neq 0, 0 \leq r < 1$. Note that

$$\frac{dE_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds} = \frac{[-(\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s \ln \text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r})]s - [1 - (\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}))^s]}{(1-r)s^2}. \quad (16)$$

Let $\text{tr}(\rho^r \sigma^{1-r}) = x$, $f(x) = \frac{x^s - 1 - sx^s \ln x}{(1-r)s^2}$. Then $0 < x \leq 1$, $\frac{dE_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds} = \frac{x^s - 1 - sx^s \ln x}{(1-r)s^2}$, $f'(x) = \frac{-x^{s-1} \ln x}{1-r} \geq 0$, so $f(x) \leq f(1) = 0$. Thus we get $\frac{dE_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds} \leq 0$.

(4) When $r = 1$, the conclusion is clear.

When $s = 0$, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = H_r(\rho\|\sigma)$ is a constant function of s . Hence, the conclusion is clear.

When $s \neq 0$, if for some $0 \leq r_0 < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^{r_0}\sigma^{1-r_0}) = 0$, then for any $0 \leq r < 1$, $\text{tr}(\rho^r\sigma^{1-r}) = 0$, thus, $E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{(1-r)s}$ is a convex function of s , hence, the conclusion is true in this case.

When $s \neq 0$, if $\text{tr}(\rho^r\sigma^{1-r}) > 0$ for each $0 \leq r < 1$. Let $\text{tr}(\rho^r\sigma^{1-r}) = x$. Then $0 < x \leq 1$. Moreover,

$$\frac{d^2 E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds^2} = \frac{-s^2 x^s \ln^2 x + 2s x^s \ln x + 2(1-x^s)}{(1-r)s^3}.$$

Let $g(x) = -s^2 x^s \ln^2 x + 2s x^s \ln x + 2(1-x^s)$. Then $g'(x) = -s^3 x^{s-1} \ln^2 x$. Thus, $g'(x) \leq 0$ if $s > 0$, $g'(x) \geq 0$ if $s < 0$. Correspondingly, $g(s) \geq g(1) = 0$ if $s > 0$, $g(s) \leq g(1) = 0$ if $s < 0$. Hence $\frac{d^2 E_r^s(\rho\|\sigma)}{ds^2} \geq 0$ for $s \neq 0$. The conclusion is proved finally.

References

- [1]. P. N. Rathie, Unified (r, s) -entropy and its bivariate measures, *Inf. Sci.* **54**, 23-39, (1991)
- [2]. X. H. Hu and Z. X. Ye, Generalized quantum entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **47**, 023502-1-023502-7, (2006)
- [3]. M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (2000)
- [4]. M. Ohya and D. Petz, *Quantum Entropy and its Use*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1991)
- [5]. S. Furuichi, K. Yanagi and K. Kuriyama, Fundamental properties of Tsallis relative entropy, *J. Math. Phys.* **45**, 4868-4877, (2004)
- [6]. S. Furuichi, A note on a parametrically extended entanglement-measure due to Tsallis relative entropy, *INFORMATION*. **9**, 837-844, (2006)
- [7]. I. J. Taneja, L. Pardo, D. Morales and M. L. Menéndez, On generalized information and divergence measures and their applications: a brief review, *QÜESTIÓ*,

13, 47-73, (1989)

[8]. R. G. Douglas, On majorization and range inclusion of operators in Hilbert space, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17**, 413-416, (1966)

[9]. A. Wehrl, General properties of entropy, Rev. Mod. Phys. **50**, 221-260, (1978)

[10]. G. Lindblad, Expectations and Entropy Inequalities for Finite Quantum Systems, Commun. math. Phys. **39**, 111-119, (1974)

[11]. G. Lindblad, Completely Positive Maps and Entropy Inequalities, Commun. math. Phys. **40**, 147-151, (1975)

[12]. M. B. Ruskai, Inequalities for quantum entropy: A review with conditions for equality, J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4358-4375, (2002)

[13]. E. H. Lieb, Convex Trace Functions and the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson Conjecture, Advan. Math. **11**, 267-288, (1973)

[14]. M. B. Ruskai and F. M. Stillinger, Convexity inequalities for estimating free energy and relative entropy, J. Phys. A **23**, 2421-2437, (1990)